EXHIBIT T

```
N7BCede1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
      DR. SARI EDELMAN,
 3
              Plaintiff,
 4
                            21 Civ. 502 (LJL)
 5
            ٧.
 6
      NYU LANGONE HEALTH SYSTEM, et
      al.,
 7
              Defendants.
 8
                            Trial
                            New York, N.Y.
 9
                            July 11, 2023
                            9:00 a.m.
10
      Before:
11
                               HON. LEWIS J. LIMAN,
12
                            District Judge
13
                            -and a Jury-
14
                                    APPEARANCES
15
      MILMAN LABUDA LAW GROUP PLLC
16
         Attorneys for Plaintiff
17
      BY: JOSEPH M. LABUDA
            EMANUEL S. KATAEV
18
      TARTER KRINSKY & DROGIN LLP
19
         Attorneys for Defendants
      BY: RICHARD C. SCHOENSTEIN
20
            RICHARD L. STEER
            INGRID J. CARDONA
21
22
23
24
25
```

day?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. My complaint was about the, the hostile and abusive behavior that had occurred the day before with Mr. Atonik; that I didn't feel safe and I wanted it rectified; that I felt that it was a sexist, discriminatory, chauvinistic attack and it needed to be addressed with HR. We went through the events of what happened on the phone. I was probably on the phone with her for 20, 30 minutes. She took everything down. There was a case number assigned, and she said that she would get back to me.
- Why did you think that it was a sexist, chauvinistic incident with Mr. Atonik?
- The way he was speaking to me, telling me that nothing in that office belongs to me. There were my diplomas on the wall. It was space that I had negotiated with NYU to see and treat my patients based on my experience as a rheumatologist. no seniority that he had over me to say that he, he had -- that it belonged to him more than me. The only difference was that he was a male, and he was saying he owned me.

I was with the organization at that space longer than him. I had more years of training than him, and he's telling me that this is his.

Yes, NYU employs me, but he doesn't own me. He doesn't own the things in my office. He doesn't own the right to tell me -- to say you're going to do what we tell you to do. This

		BCede5 Edelman - Cross	
1	emp	ployment in Florida, you went from one job to another?	
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	You didn't miss a paycheck?	
4	A.	I can't answer that 100 percent based on when the paychecks	
5	cam	came in.	
6	Q.	But you're not aware of missing a paycheck?	
7	A.	I don't know the answer to that.	
8	Q.	And you've remained employed continuously ever since May of	
9	202	1, right, same employer, same job?	
10	A.	Yes.	
11	Q.	They have you on a partnership track there?	
12	A.	Not as of yet.	
13	Q.	Is it anticipated you will be on a partnership track?	
14	A.	I am hopeful, yes.	
15	Q.	If that works out, you could be a part owner of that	
16	bus	business in Florida?	
17	A.	In several years.	
18	Q.	By the way, there's no state income tax in Florida; right?	
19		MR. LABUDA: Objection.	
20		THE COURT: Overruled.	
21	A.	Yes.	
22	Q.	So \$300,000 in Florida is worth more than \$300,000 in New	
23	Yor	York; fair to say?	
24		MR. LABUDA: Objection.	

THE COURT: Overruled.

N7BCede5 Edelman - Cross 221

1	A.	Yes.		
2	Q.	Now I want to talk a little bit about the move to Florida.		
3	You indicated that you were forced to relocate; is that			
4	corr	correct? That's what you said in your testimony?		
5	A.	Yes.		
6	Q.	You had talked with your family about moving to Florida		
7	before your contract was not renewed; correct?			
8	A.	That is not correct.		
9	Q.	You mentioned to Dr. Mehta being interested in moving to		
10	Flor	ida; correct?		
11	A.	I did not.		
12	Q.	Your husband had posted on social media about being upset		
13	about the high costs of New York State taxes; isn't that			
14	corr	ect?		
15		MR. LABUDA: Objection. Hearsay.		
16		THE COURT: Overruled.		
17	A.	I have no idea.		
18	Q.	Let's look at some documents.		
19		You received notice of nonrenewal of the NYU contract on		
20	Dec	December 1, 2021. Do you agree with that?		
21	A.	Yes. Well, I received it on December 2 of 2021.		
22	Q.	You received it on December 2?		
23	A.	Yes.		
24	Q.	Was it on that day that you called Andrew Rubin?		
25	A.	Yes.		

		BCede5 Edelman - Cross	223
1		THE COURT: Any objection to 88?	
2		MR. LABUDA: No objection, your Honor.	
3		THE COURT: 88 is received. Can be published to the	
4	jury	' .	
5		(Plaintiff's Exhibit 88 received in evidence)	
6	Q.	There is a lot of material in 88 and I'm going to ask you	
7	to lo	ook at specific things, Dr. Edelman.	
8		Do you recall producing a lot of materials regarding your	
9	job	search and the job you took in Florida?	
10	A.	Yes.	
11		MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Can we go to page P167.	
12	Q.	Now, do you see this email, this is Friday, December 4?	
13	A.	Uh-huh.	
14	Q.	Do you see that, Dr. Edelman?	
15	A.	l do.	
16	Q.	And that's two days after receiving notice of nonrenewal?	
17	A.	Yes.	
18	Q.	And you're writing to a recruiter saying "I am currently	
19	emp	ployed at NYU and looking to make a move to Florida." Do you	
20	see	that?	
21	A.	Yes, I do.	
22	Q.	So that is what you were telling recruiters as of	
23	Dec	cember 4, 2020, that you were looking to make a move to	
24	Flor	rida?	
25	A.	I was saying that to every recruiter in every state with	
I			

I	Case 1:21-cv-00502-LJL Document 280-6 Filed 10/12/23 Page 7 of 8 N7BCede5 Edelman - Cross
1	the same message that I sent it to.
2	Q. I only asked you about Florida. You were telling
3	recruiters, as you did in this email, that you were looking to
4	make a move to Florida; correct?
5	A. Yes, I was letting them know if I get a job, I will be
6	moving from New York to Florida.
7	MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Move to strike the last part of that
8	answer, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Overruled.
10	Dr. Edelman, I'm going to instruct you to answer the
11	questions that are being asked. You will have an opportunity
12	during redirect examination to clarify any answers that you've
13	given if there are additional things that should be brought to
14	the jury's attention in the view of your lawyer.
15	THE WITNESS: Okay.
16	MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Ms. Cardona, please turn to P178.
17	Q. This is another email exchange on December 4, and you say:
18	"We are looking to relocate to Florida, Delray Beach, West Palm
19	Beach, Clearwater, Tampa area." Do you see that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. So by the 4th of November, you had not only identified
22	Florida, you had identified specific locations in Florida that

you were interested in; is that correct?

THE COURT: I think you said 4th of November. Did you mean the 4th of December?

23

24

25

	N7BCede5 Edelman - Cross
1	MR. SCHOENSTEIN: I did, your Honor. Thank you.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And you got some pretty fast responses to these inquiries
4	and your inquiries in general; is that correct?
5	A. The document I'm looking at I'm sorry. What's your
6	question?
7	Q. The question is a little more general. You got some pretty
8	quick responses to your inquiries about job positions in
9	Florida?
10	A. Yes, I got quick inquiries to all my job everything I
11	put out.
12	MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Scroll down, please, to page 189.
13	A. I just want to go back to this document because everything
14	lists Albany, Syracuse, New York, like what you're showing me
15	on the screen.
16	MR. SCHOENSTEIN: Your Honor, move to strike.
17	THE COURT: The testimony is stricken.
18	Members of the jury, let me instruct you. The way
19	that the examination proceeds is each lawyer asks the questions

that they think will elicit the information that will be helpful for you in making a decision, so that's what happens on direct examination, on cross examination. The lawyer asks the questions that the lawyer believes will bring out the information that will be helpful to you from their perspective and then there'll be an opportunity on redirect examination, as

20

21

22

23

24

25